



SURVEY RESULTS

(Yellow Paper Surveys)

Should Hope allow the sale of non-medical cannabis in its community?

- Yes **410**
- Only to prevent illicit profiteering
 - But not products for smoking
 - With strict controls
 - News flash, they have been selling pot in Hope for years. It all started in the 60's when the draft dodgers moved to the spire across the Fraser Bridge and never stopped
 - The product will be here anyway
 - Sadly only because it's better to have it controlled than create a black market for what has been made legal
 - Definitely
- No **188**
- Health concerns
 - Hope will attract people who do not agree with non-medical cannabis intake and attract less potential crime and MVI's due to intoxication
 - However, regardless of how I feel about it, I believe sale of non-medical cannabis will happen. I believe I should be entitled to answer the following questions

If you answered yes, please answer the following questions:

If so, should Hope permit the opening of the following non-medical cannabis retail stores in Hope?

- Government-operated non-medical cannabis stores **120**
- Part of liquor control stores
 - If the law is accepted by the majority
- Privately-operated non-medical cannabis stores **38**
- Both **311**
- Competition benefits consumers
 - Same as liquor stores

Do you think there should be a maximum number of Government-operated non-medical cannabis retail stores?

- Yes, **47** how many? 0 x4, 1 x155, 2 x59, 3 x10, 4 x2, 5,10, 25
- 1 for towns the size of Hope x2, and 4 for cities such as Chilliwack or Kamloops
 - Liquor store x8
 - There should be a formula based on per capita
 - 1 government and 2-3 non-government x2
 - Let the market dictate
 - One in each town x2
 - Depends on size of area served (1 for Hope)
 - 1 to every 2 privately operated
 - Depends on population, we have 1 LCB Store in town, so one
 - Equal, not more than private
 - Same as liquor licenses
 - One per 100,000 population
 - Max per community or overall? More market research needs to be done
 - Per capita
- No **186**
- In line with liquor stores allowed
 - Gov't has shown lack of care
 - Let the market decide

Do you think there should be a maximum number of privately-operated non-medical cannabis retail stores?

- Yes **229** how many? 0 x26, 1 x54, 2 x69, 3 x21, 4 x6, 5 x5, 10
- 1 for each area, ie: Silver Creek, Sunshine Valley
 - 2 in town proper, there should be no maximum
 - One in each town
 - None in Hope x2; 1 in other municipality
 - Depending on population
 - If you are going that way it would be easier to monitor and regulate
 - None or one max
 - Regulate by size of community/population
 - Again depends on population, for Hope maximum 2
 - Unlimited; the market will dictate
 - 2: Hope is not that large and would need an ability to earn a living
 - There should not be any
 - Equal, not more than gov't
 - For a town our size it would probably only support 2 sustainably
 - Same as liquor licenses
 - Max per community or overall? More market research needs to be done
 - Should be people knowledgeable with cannabis selling it
 - 1 per 10,000 residents

- Per capita

○ No **224**

- In line with liquor stores allowed x2
- Doesn't seem like there is a limit for pizza place licences; pizza is not the healthiest food.
- Let the market decide
- As many as private stores can provide
- Only the strong will survive
- Competition makes for better business practices
- Competition is food for a business

Should the retail sales of non-medical cannabis be limited to the proximity of the following uses?

	Yes	No
Schools – the Silver Chalice is next to a school	334	110
Child Care Centres	308	130
Recreation Centres	252	169
Parks	218	197
Liquor Stores – sold in liquor stores	144	244
Places of Worship	198	205
Other non-medical cannabis outlets	172	198

Others:

- No – the smell is sickening
- Should be located downtown as most other businesses are – visible to all
- Any public place x2
- If there are rules about the sale of liquor to the proximity of these locations then perhaps you could follow that bylaw
- Hospitals, medical clinics, private homes
- Senior Housing
- Any place where you can buy tobacco & alcohol, you should be able to purchase cannabis
- Can you have a liquor store next to an elementary school
- High schools
- Any public space where smoking is not allowed
- Not near or within 5 blocks of the items listed
- Apply rules about smoking
- Should not be around any place where children or people congregate
- Limit retail sales to liquor stores, not near schools, rec centre, parks, etc.
- The question is not very clear
- Grocery stores
- Not near private residences x2

- Health food store is okay
- Sales should not be permitted at or near any of the above listed locations
- Limited to homes
- Open in liquor store operated by government only
- One outlet is enough for Hope away from public space
- Same as liquor and cigarettes
- It should be in the same areas of liquor stores
- Recreation Centres where they sell alcohol. It should be given the same responsibilities as alcohol sellers
- Legal, as cigarettes restrictions
- Only allowed at designated areas
- Downtown core only
- Medical centre/hospital
- The Cannabis Club in Vancouver actually has the support of both local schools just on the corner across from them
- Downtown location, as liquor stores
- Parks, public events
- District Hall
- Near a retail store
- No sales outlets near any above areas
- Should not be allowed within 1km of any of these
- 6th Avenue Park
- I think it should be like liquor sales
- Vapour shops
- Retirement community and hospitals
- Segregated areas outdoors and own property
- In your own home
- I don't believe they should be close to children activities and places such as the first 3 mentioned
- Hemp
- Can't drink and drive policy. Don't allow liquor on streets or parks
- Pot should not be sold or available anywhere but through gov't licenced liquor stores and not used anywhere but age restricted areas and own home
- Shopping malls
- Question is useless without distances. Not directly across schools/child care, otherwise anywhere
- Keep away from all health facilities; health clinics, physio, etc
- Not near health facilities or high-risk housing
- Storefronts to be non-descriptive except for a name
- Seniors/Retirement Complexes
- Hope is too small for these restrictions
- Smoking/vaping lounge in an industrial area
- Nowhere near any of these places listed. Like cigarettes it should be prohibited from any public place
- No store, do not sell it
- Homes
- Anybody in their right mind would not allow cannabis to go into these kind of facilities
- Public stores. Same as smoking as they pollute the air

Should Hope restrict hours of operation for non-medical cannabis retail stores (government and private)

- Yes **298**
- Regular business hours x4 – 9 to 5 x2
 - Same as cold beer & wine
 - Hours should be similar to other retail stores
 - Somewhat but not 24 hours
 - Same as liquor store x12
 - 8am to 6pm
 - Supermarkets should not be selling cannabis
 - Daytime hours only x2
 - Hours should be 9 to 6
 - Same hours as bars and liquor stores
 - How will they be policed and by whom and who pays
 - Absolutely, if for no other reason than for public safety and policing. Have strict hours (9 or 10am to 4 or 5pm); time of normal business hours only, Monday to Friday, never on weekends or holidays
 - Normal store hours, later on Friday and Saturday nights
 - 9am to 10pm should be sufficient
 - Same as liquor licenses
 - Same as retail stores
 - There should be no stores selling. There is too little police in Hope and too much drugs here as it is
 - If we didn't have these operations then Hope would not have to worry about the hours of operations
- No **178**
- Liquor store hours
 - Other than normal store hours
 - As per noise bylaws

Consumption

Should Hope's rules regarding consumption of cannabis in public places be:

- The same as the Provincial rules **375**
- The same as alcohol
 - Same as drinking in public; common sense really
 - Any public space where tobacco is also restricted
 - Public use - no
- More restrictive than the Provincial rules **150**
- Much more

- Do you mean current rules for liquor consumption? If so, then more restrictive. I do not want to smell cannabis in a restaurant
- It is already bad here with usage anyway
- No smoking

Don't know **35**

- More restrictive
- Should be same as tobacco
- Perhaps less restrictive than the provincial rules
- Its food and medicine. It's a plant that is good for a lot of everything
- Should be allowed at outdoor concert after 9pm and downwind of other public seating
- Same as smoking/vaping x2
- No smoking cannabis in public places. Is a stinky place in Hope as it is with all the crack & pot smoked on the streets and especially park downtown Wallace. No police patrols. Hardly any police to control drugs in Hope, BC

Please select any places you feel ARE NOT acceptable for people to consume cannabis:

At an outdoor concert or festival **259**

- Designated area would be okay x6, same as cigarette smoking areas x2
- Not acceptable if children are present
- I think this is unenforceable
- Adult entertainment only

In a public park **369**

- Enforcement is going to be an issue
- Playground area

At a public transit stop **445**

- A few feet away is fine (cigarettes shouldn't be allowed), if you smoke cigarettes or vape there then cannabis should be allowed as well
- A few feet away
- Enforcement is going to be an issue
- Because people have to stand there

On a restaurant or bar patio **416**

- Inside a restaurant, no but ok outside
- Enforcement is going to be an issue
- Certain bars/areas requested & publicly permitted
- Restaurant, no but patio, yes
- Only in designated smoking area

Any outdoor public space **294**

- Unless children present
- Already restricted to cigarettes
- Depends on people around
- In designated areas

- Not specifically posted & permitted

□ Other:

- At public parks, smoking areas should be made
- The rules for smoking cannabis should be the same as smoking cigarettes x12
- Not where others live
- Only at their home x10
- Same as a smoking or drinking ban x5
- You can't drink alcohol in public places, why cannabis x3
- Outdoors of neighbours homes
- Any place that would offend people who don't like the smell or smoke
- Hiking Trails
- Sidewalks
- Any outdoor area (ie balcony, patio) of condominium, townhouse, etc.
- Around children x4, schools x3, Rec Centre x3
- Does consume also include eat or vape? Restrictions same as cigarettes
- Schools and anywhere where non-smokers are subjected to second hand smoke
- Outside your own home – smoke it in your own home
- At the Tour Bus Stop on Fraser Ave
- See Provincial rules x3
- The smell of cannabis is very unpleasant
- Anywhere there are children right by you
- In apartment buildings x2
- Private only
- At places of worship x2
- At an outdoor concert or festival if it is in the woods
- School yards
- Library, ice rinks, pools, all outdoor public areas
- Any public building, same as smoking
- Any indoor public space, BC Ferries, Restaurants, Airplanes, etc.
- Within 5 metres of an entrance or window of a public building
- No public space x2
- Designated areas only
- River bank and picnic places should be especially designated areas
- Laws need to correspond with cigarette smoking
- Same rules as public alcohol use
- All places are not acceptable x3
- Apartments or rental suites & homes
- Any public meeting and same as cigarette smoking
- Same rules as alcohol
- Indoor public space, sidewalks, roads, alleys
- At any public school to the age of 21
- Same as alcohol in a beer garden. Walking down street, same as alcohol
- No where x2
- Same a liquor x5
- School property x5
- Any area where smoking tobacco is prohibited x2
- Should be the same as cigarettes x9

- Any workplace x3
- Should be like smoking
- If beer is allowed pot should be allowed, but not around food
- Within 15 metres of any open door or window on a neighbouring property
- Health concerns; no public place at all
- Retail stores outside and in, recreation centres
- Need to consider allergies; people are allergic to cannabis
- Police grounds
- No smoking should be allowed anywhere in public. Cannabis should only be ingested orally in public places
- Not around children's events x2
- The smell of burning pot stinks
- All stores, vehicles, bus, taxi
- Not acceptable for people to use cannabis at any public spaces
- All public spaces such as amusement areas, attractions, public beaches, camping areas x2, nature trails etc
- Should not be allowed to consume cannabis anywhere smoking or drinking alcohol is prohibited
- Sports fields (baseball, soccer, etc)
- Smoke travels, should be kept private or vented areas
- Apartment buildings, hallways or entrances
- Any public place or walkway
- Like smoking rules x7
- Any outdoor space for smoking
- Same as current non-smoking regulations
- You have bylaws in place for smoking, use them, enforce them, make all the bylaw you want without enforcement, it's all just paperwork
- Home that have allergies, private choice not gov't
- At home in house or on property. Designated area as liquor and cigarette smoking designated places
- In their own home if shared space such as multi apartment buildings etc multi-family buildings
- Only if the patio is designated
- In front of retail stores of any kind
- Wherever public smoking is restricted
- Anywhere the public has access indoor or outdoor
- Any place the smell can go. Dome days I can't enjoy my deck due to the stench
- Around places of worship and school activities
- Bars – treated like tobacco – outdoor smoking area
- Trails
- Within 200 feet of residences
- Hospitals
- Okay to consume (eat), not okay to smoke
- Near schools, childcare centres, recreational centres
- Same as smoking
- People can smoke it and eat it, just not in a public area please
- These are all acceptable places for consumption
- Can't smoke cigarettes so why cannabis

- High School
- Same places as tobacco, eat: anywhere
- Events with under age children
- Schools etc
- Multi residential complexes
- What Province decides
- Should follow rules of consuming alcohol and smoking cigarettes. When in public, people should use discretion
- Elementary and High Schools
- Within 100 ft of child care area and Junior and Elementary Schools
- It smells horrible and makes it hard to enjoy backyard or walks around neighbourhood if not contained to a closed area
- Schoolyards, churches, daycare centres
- Inside rec centre
- Treat use of non-medical same as consuming alcohol in a public place
- Work sites
- Any place where non-users are imposed on
- Anywhere outside of personally owned property
- In your yard
- None is acceptable. What about the non-drug people that don't want to participate or smell it. Where's our rights
- Apartments and rental homes and business
- Around kids, schools etc., hospital and old age homes
- Hospitals, doctor's offices
- Not in all of BC
- Any place where it affects the quality of anyone else's air
- Anywhere outdoors – smoke smell travels with the wind
- Private yards where there are neighbours
- No public areas or clinics
- Why does the public have to endure the stench of this product
- No public areas

Please select any places you feel ARE acceptable for people to consume cannabis:

- At an outdoor concert or festival **250**
 - Within confines of specific area, ie: beer garden, smoking lounge
 - Where attendees can come and go to partake
 - Away from others, not in front of children
 - In designated area only x12
 - Only if smoking is permitted
 - If adults only x2
 - A specific time, posted & permitted
 - That is fenced off excluding minors
 - Adult entertainment only; beer garden style

- In a public park **139**
 - In designated area x2
 - 6 m from play field or play area
 - When kids are not around, responsibly

- At a public transit stop **60**
 - Designated smoke area
 - 6 m from transit stop

- On a restaurant or bar patio **100**
 - Patio only
 - In a designated area x2
 - Should be up to the bar owner
 - If smoking is allowed 6 m
 - If same as tobacco laws
 - At their discretion

- Any outdoor public space **120**
 - With discretion, except when children present
 - Within limitation; prescribed area at concert or festival x2
 - Where there are no children's events
 - If safe to do so
 - 6 m from doorway
 - In designated areas
 - Within reason, responsibly

- Other:
 - In private or designates areas x10
 - Should be the same rules as alcohol consumption x5
 - Their own home x 75
 - Their own property x9, inside x3
 - Have a smoke area, down wind, sort of like a beer garden
 - Non-public areas
 - Inside private unattached owner home and none for strata or rentals
 - Own home – not a rental unit
 - None, no place x5
 - Let people be free
 - Inside their own home if no children are in the family
 - Should be same as tobacco use x16
 - On private property, similar to where you consume alcohol or are allowed to smoke tobacco
 - Back yard of single detached home
 - Same restriction in relation to proximity to doorways etc for any smoking
 - Same rules as smoking and smoking bylaws x5
 - Where others will not be negatively affected by the smoke or smell
 - The rules for smoking tobacco should be the same rules for smoking cannabis x2
 - In your own yard, private property x2
 - Designated smoking areas x2

- The smell is unpleasant and reminds me of a skunk smell. Much prefer the option of not inhaling it
- On the street
- Within their own lodgings if not affecting other residents or nearby neighbours
- Have designated places allowing it
- Nowhere, the stench affects us all x2
- A person's own property only, unless the smoke bothers your neighbours as actually already applies to Ham Radio Operators
- As long as you don't bother other people
- Away from public places, in designated areas, same restrictions as cigarettes
- 19 years of age or older
- Private cabin on acreage
- Private homes, designated spaces like smoking tobacco
- Outdoor concert or festival – no minors
- Laws need to correspond with cigarette smoking
- Home or private homes of others – make it the same as cigarettes; I don't know the restrictions on that
- Anywhere where tobacco is allowed
- Same rules as the Province
- Use existing smoking bylaw, why complicate matters. Public intoxication laws would be enforced by RCMP but they don't seem to do that anyways
- All above choices should be in designated areas including cigarette smoking; perhaps local businesses could join to help say if policing is needed. Also, many smokers do not wish to be around cannabis smells. What a mess
- Places of worship
- Private rooms
- In a backyard of the private detached home on the porch of a detached private home or on the porch of a manufactured home
- Only in spaces designed by the province
- Should not be legalized
- Same as liquor x3
- Private areas, outdoors, which do not overlook other people's private areas
- Non-Alcoholic Smoke Den
- In their home if it does not affect others – ie: apartment or joined housing
- Designated consumption locales, akin to pubs
- Should be the same as cigarettes
- At a distance from a public place or at one's home
- Everywhere you want
- No where that will affect the quality of the air I breath, ie: my neighbour's garden when wind blowing in my direction or by school grounds
- Own campsite
- Somewhere away from the general public like inside their house
- Need to consider allergies; people are allergic to cannabis
- Anywhere you smoke cigarettes
- Backyard
- Everywhere
- Only orally ingested in public places as medicine or under the same laws as tobacco and alcohol if consumed for recreation

- Anywhere drinking or smoking is allowed
- The rules for cigarette smoking should be laid out for pot smoking
- Your own property as long as it is not offensive to your neighbour
- Only outside of your own home
- Their own private property indoors only as some humans are allergic to the substance
- Trails, campsites x2, provincial parks, lakes
- Private homes, yards, in vented areas
- Beaches campgrounds, beer gardens during events and out on walks
- At/on street corners, while moving.
- Inside a person's home only – not outside; environmental responsibility for all citizens and visitors to Hope
- Own property, away from gatherings
- Same rules as tobacco x6
- Anywhere no one has problems with it
- Wherever alcohol and tobacco are allowed
- In a designated area, same as alcohol
- Use smoking bylaw
- Outdoors if not within 200 ft of other persons
- Beach, riverside
- Places where people under the age of 19 don't have access, equivalent to alcohol designated areas in public
- Nowhere in public, the same as alcohol
- General outdoors, with discretion
- Any place I don't have to smell the stench
- Designates areas only in public spaces
- 6 metres away from, same as smoking
- Bars – smoking rules same for tobacco users as cannabis. Respect for others
- Anywhere it is acceptable to consume a beer
- In smoking areas of old age homes and care homes
- Specialty Smoke Coffee Shops
- Cordoned off small areas of parks
- Any safe place where there are no children
- None of the above
- Downwind of any space that would be affected
- None x4
- All places its acceptable to smoke tobacco, same for cannabis
- Any outdoor public space with consideration for non-smokers
- Home and yard
- At home or away from entrances and places public gather
- Any public space where smoking is permitted
- Pot bars and own home
- On a hike, in designated smoking areas
- Can't smoke cigarettes so why cannabis
- Juice Bars like in Amsterdam, Coffee Shops
- Same places as tobacco, eat: anywhere
- All Crown Lands
- Any area where normal smoking/vaping allowed

- Provincial guidelines x2
- Privately owned dwellings and their outdoor areas away from neighbouring windows and doors, and furnace air intakes
- Private residents away from neighbouring doors and windows and ventilation
- Any outdoor public space with respect to other people ie: 20m from doors & windows, at a concert, go for a walk
- Hospitals, care facilities, hospice
- Maybe in designated areas at outdoor concerts or festivals and outdoor public space
- Outdoor designated smoking area
- Generally where tobacco smoking permitted
- In a restricted cannabis only set away from public due to obnoxious smell
- In their own homes/outside on their own property/designated areas only
- Campground, anywhere you can smoke a cigarette
- My concern is the consumption and then driving. This affects more than the user
- Campgrounds, designated smoking areas
- A closed room in a residence (doesn't stink up neighbourhood) or closed room in a business where primary purpose is the sale of liquor or future marijuana
- In your home and yard
- Residential, rental homes
- Preferably inside their house. I find that smoke from weed is different from tobacco smoke which drifts away quickly. I walk through neighbourhoods that stink of it, as cannabis smoke seems heavier and lasts longer. For renters who are not permitted to smoke inside, perhaps a public smoke lounge, similar to drinking establishments
- Any place cigarettes and alcohol allowed
- Nowhere. Completely disagree with allowing non-medical use
- The user's private residence if they own their own home and be restricted to the indoors. Not in their back yard. Neighbours don't need to smell that and pot's aroma is far stronger than cigarettes
- None x3
- None of the above are acceptable
- In their own homes and yards, we shouldn't all have to smell the stinky stuff
- 5 miles away from me

How should The District of Hope approach cannabis use at festivals and events?

- Cannabis use should be banned entirely at these events **149**
 - Along with tobacco
 - Second hand smoke is dangerous; District liability if allowed
 - Because usually held in parks
 - Ban cannabis from Hope entirely
 - The smell was so bad at Brigade Days that a lot of people were getting head aches
 - Nowhere there are children and public

- Cannabis use should only be allowed in designated areas at these events **342**
 - Same as having a beer garden, etc. x3
 - Same as smoking is regulated x3
 - Adult only
 - But not smoking, you can't keep smoke from migrating outside
 - Allow cigarettes too then
- Cannabis use should be allowed anywhere at these events **58**
 - The same as smoking x2
 - If beer is allowed x2, pot should be allowed
 - In some cases
 - Timed: 9pm to 11pm etc
 - Same rules as smoking
 - It already happens; has for many years

Home Growing

Where should non-medical cannabis plants be permitted to be grown?

- Inside your home **304**
 - As long as not visible to neighborhood or street
 - If personally own the house
- Shed **351**
 - With a lock
 - Detached
- Greenhouse **390**
 - Locked and out of public eye
 - Greenhouse only
- Garage (Detached) **330**
- Other:
 - Not in rentals
 - Only if you own or have landlord permission x3
 - Outside growing x5, hidden from view x12 and fenced
 - Backyard x12, if fenced
 - Shed or cabin on acreage
 - Grow for own use only
 - Bad for health
 - Would not permit any of the above due to mold and health issues
 - Grow more vegetables
 - Only by government operations
 - Any private property; it's a plant that will be legal
 - Nowhere in the District x5
 - Depends on location & size of property
 - Any place that is safe to do so

- Underground for security and secrecy
- Create a new residential zone
- Four plants only, in their backyard
- In your garden x10 (ie: vegetable garden). In containers on your property x2
- Where it does not affect other people. I do not want to be the neighbour of a grower
- Can be grown on your own property anywhere x2
- Nowhere x11
- Garden x18 (ie fenced or hedged)
- In your private garden, backyard x2
- Only on your own property x4
- Outside x5
- Same rules as the Province x3
- Should not be allowed
- Maximum 4 plants
- Within a fenced and locked outdoor area
- Home growing should not be allowed
- I am against it being grown anywhere
- As per provincial and federal laws
- As long as its within the allowable limits set out in the act where a person chooses to grow a legal substance is not a matter for the city on private property
- Patio, but out of sight
- Should be entirely up to landlord, same as smoking
- Tenanted and strata properties
- Only on licenced establishments or in a small greenhouse
- Anywhere on your property
- Wherever people want x2
- None of the above
- Some other municipality
- Away from residential areas, only commercial properties
- Anywhere it will grow; it is a plant
- Where any person feels fit
- Out of sight
- Cannot answer because I do not agree with this law
- Outside house in backyard greenhouse or shed
- In a regulated facility
- Government greenhouse
- Private patio, not viewed by others
- If it is enforced it won't matter where you grow 4 plants
- No home growing for friends. Should only be bought from outlets
- Rental property
- Outside in the garden x2, patio
- Prohibit in rentals & strata's
- Controlled licensed facility
- Not acceptable
- Limit to 4 plants as long as no odour
- The shed, greenhouse and garage would increase crime
- Anywhere on my property that is not visible to people walking by

- On the roof, in some cases
- Everywhere. Cleans soils of toxins while creating biodegradable material
- Anywhere; out of view
- Wherever the smell won't leave the property
- Anywhere on your personal property
- If it's your own home, then yes
- Its 4 plants, big deal. Most people have hundreds of different plants
- Private property
- Where there is not public access
- Most secure
- They should not be permitted to home growing
- Anywhere
- Anywhere it won't get stolen
- Outside, out of view from outside property lines
- Yard x2
- Anywhere on your property and/or residence
- Commercial Property
- Anywhere where it doesn't pose a fire threat on personal property
- All private, leased or rented property
- Any other building
- In a secluded outdoor flowerbed
- Up to individual strata (landlord)
- No
- In a garden discreetly
- Shed, greenhouse and garage, if locked
- Should not be allowed in tenanted or strata properties (smoking or growing)
- None x3
- With the small police force in Hope how are all of these issues with the cannabis legalization going to be handled
- None of the listed places

Do you have concerns with any of the following related to non-medical cannabis home growing?

- Odour **296**
 - In close quarters
 - In multi-unit buildings
- Crime **264**
 - People may steal the plants
- Accessibility of plants for minors **326**
 - Minors have always been able to access pot and much worse. Parents need to control access just as they should with alcohol. Education a must, it's the same problem as alcohol; lack of parental attention
 - Parents should be responsible

Mold **280**

- Upon resale of house
- Health concerns
- Don't be dumb and vent properly

Other:

- What if I want to sell my home; it is now a grow op
- Health x 3
- Real Estate values
- Private property is still private property
- Neighbours abusing it
- Rats
- Common sense, "if only"
- Increased power consumption overall province
- Harassment of non-cannabis smokers
- Allergies to marijuana, addictions (yes some people actually do get addicted), lung disease such as COPD
- Concern with electrical fires
- Electrical should be permitted and checked for safety if not already in place
- Local thieves
- Cost of Bylaw Enforcement to tax payers
- Driving under the influence
- Four plants does not equal a grow op
- Give your heads a shake. You do NOT trump federal legislation
- Some of us are allergic to cannabis
- Traffic
- Sub-par electrical installations
- Driving cars
- More youth smoking this
- Second hand smoke smells
- Everything
- Stink
- Too many restrictions
- None x3. If the person is only growing 4 plants none of the above are a problem
- Hazards from improper electrical wiring, heating, etc.
- Fire hazard x2
- Fire hazard – lighting and electricity improperly installed
- Small fee. Should need permit and inspected for safety
- Odour is a concern. X2 Keep it outdoors not down wind
- Excessive monitoring by local government or province
- Degradation of our community
- The idea that inhaling smoke of any kind is good for anyone
- Traffic
- THC in cannabis should not be allowed as it alters brain chemistry and taken with alcohol leads to intoxication more than if not smoked
- Fire hazard for neighbours
- People with lack of knowledge to do it properly

- Criminals are the only ones that will suffer, lost profits
- Every aspect
- Children being exposed to cannabis, home growing
- Access for domestic animals/pets to consume
- Electrical hookup not being to code
- Fires x3; excessive use of electricity
- Fire – if growing cannabis causes a house fire now house insurance goes up for everyone
- Whether it's a fern, a flower, or any plant in your house, its only 4 plants, no harm in that
- No concerns if done discretely and properly monitored
- Hope has already banned everything, got to keep something
- Lowers property values
- Electrical safety
- Private dealing, noise, fire risk
- Rules should be same as tobacco
- No
- If I were a landlord I would be worried about mold
- It smells disgusting and people with lung disease will suffer
- No concerns regarding any of these
- Illegal distribution
- It's going on around me right now, so.....
- None with a 4 plant maximum
- Costs of regulating-non-regulating. Insurance costs. Risk of fires. Effect on real estate
- Very difficult to enforce and will take additional tax payers resources that could be used elsewhere
- Stolen hydro
- Environmental (litter), health care
- No home growing allowed
- Allergies to cannabis
- Anywhere that kids live and the elderly who are sick
- Legalizing marijuana will only entice innocent children and young people. Adults, especially Trudeau, will be responsible for their actions. This is going to create more homelessness, more crime and suicide. These will be the things to follow
- Unexpected access by domestic animals

ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK: Do you have any other comments relating to the District of Hope's role in regulating non-medical cannabis in the community?

- I am against non-medical cannabis
- The freedom of living in Canada is for each adult to decide where and when they want or don't want to take part. Separate places for people to use within public areas allows this. Hope District should follow the guidelines set out by province and should not muddle the water with additional laws that outsiders and tourists will have no knowledge of or follow. Free enterprise means anyone should be allowed, if legitimate, to open a retail location. Hours are not set for other businesses and should not be done for this either
- Biggest concern right now is the cocaine dealers

- As a user of medical pot. People should be able to purchase in Hope (medically grown pot would be pure). Retail stores, will it be mixed? Not washed correctly?
- This is a gateway drug and leads to further drug use, often of more harmful drugs. This is a bad choice, restrict this to help our kids future
- It is a safer choice for pain control etc. For those who are ill and need it. Alcohol is far more dangerous and has more consequences to society than pot. It is a substance that can cause impairment and needs boundaries. Cannabis has it's place medically with far less side effects
- Stop regulating everything. It would be a true shame if we have to go and give our business to merchants outside our community. Just tax the sales of it and put it to good use like helping the homeless in town here
- Just like any substances like alcohol, prescription pills etc., homeowners/renters should be responsible
- Grow op should not be in residential areas
- Neighbours have in the past used it outdoors in their yard. They have had company that have camped in their backyard, by 4 am they were all high and drunk; they reached through the hedge between the properties, stole my firewood. The party got out of hand. One partier threatened my family. So NO to cannabis
- Buildings where it is grown should be property secured to discourage theft
- Advertising campaign: listing negative physical & mental effects of consuming cannabis. This information should also be on packaging
- Stay out of it
- Should have done it years ago. Revenue for Hope area
- Rats are a problem
- Hire more Police Officers (RCMP or private company). Driving while under influence – stricter fines/punishments needed. Non-usage around any animals as well as children under age 20
- There should have been a nation-wide vote on this in the beginning
- I have allergies to forest fire smoke, local pollution, cigarette smoke & pot smoke. I will not be forced to be prisoner in my own home
- Take the tax dollars that are available, get on with it
- Yes the Mayor and Council should not be allowed to just change or make up bylaws without consulting the public in a vote
- Regulate like liquor laws
- Like regular tobacco it should not be allowed to impact non-users, not in public areas. Is there not a fear it will lead to stronger drugs? Our young people should be discouraged on drug use, not us making it more acceptable
- Cities/province should allow for anything that is legal and should not limit said businesses from operating by upping business licence fees or bad zoning laws. Legal is legal, that means should be allowed
- Hope non-medical cannabis doesn't catch on because the stench it gives off makes me and my other family members sick
- It should be the same rules for cannabis smoking as it is for cigarette & e-cigarette smoking
- Who is going to pay for the enforcement of the regulations? Will the District of Hope tax payers have to pay for Bylaw Enforcement costs if someone is growing cannabis in their backyard garden and from the back alley the public can visually see the plants?
- Do your job, be firm and don't let us be led down the garden path by "Hippie" mentality.
- Landlords and strata councils should also be able to restrict or permit cannabis growing

as well as smoking

- The odour of cannabis is pungent; I will avoid areas where the smell will be too strong.
- Get over it! Don't force people to have another reason to leave Hope to shop
- District of Hope should be educated on cannabis before making decision. Example cannabis oil that is used in the treatment of cancer or severe arthritic pains
- More attention to rules with driving while impaired (with drugs) in and around Hope.
- I would rather see it available from authorized outlets rather people buying it illegally off the street laced with who knows what. People are going to use the product whether its legal or not. Let's get rid of the illegal drug dealers
- Do your homework & find out what problems have occurred in other countries or states that have allowed non-medical cannabis in the community. Set rules and if they are abused or broken, a heavy duty financial fine is levied. The more the rules are broken the heavier the fine
- Odour is offensive, just like smoking, away from people. Another forest fire starter. RCMP to monitor, this is a federal party
- So grateful that we actually have a voice in this matter. WE have already experienced nearby neighbors smoking cannabis and it has been unbearable for us to have our windows open. We also have neighbors who have asthma and it has caused an issue for them
- Keep District of Hope out of it
- Please add more police to our highways. Please delay legalization until there is a reliable test for driving under the influence. Being caught driving under the influence should result in loss of any owned plants. Limit liquor store sales to non-imperment levels
- Hope is the only place in BC that does not already have a legal marijuana dispensary Hope needs to move quickly to allow this
- If you regulate this drug you should also regulate alcohol and tobacco, both of which are federally regulated
- You should be able to smoke, in private at a private setting with friends. Should not be able to smoke it walking down the street, flaunting it. Just like drinking in public, keep it to yourself, private
- By amending bylaw 1428, 2018 to allow what is currently prohibited. Positive financial benefits to District of Hope and local businesses. Additional employment and business opportunities (retail, wholesale, commercial and manufacturing). Ease of access to cannabis from approved safe sources for local residents and visitors. Availability locally of paraphernalia. Cannabis does not produce the same affects as alcohol consumption
- Hope should not regulate any further than what the Province does. Bylaw Enforcement costs should not rise; they do not enforce cannabis usage now when it is illegal
- Please do not restrict retail stores in Hope as this will hinder local economy and tax revenues by forcing local consumers to shop elsewhere
- We have enough problems with homeless crime and alcohol without adding cannabis to it. Although the government has legalized it Hope should stand strong and oppose it
- I understand that there have been suggestions that cannabis should not be allowed at all in Hope – no retail sales, no smoking/edibles, but this seems to be pointless. Those who want it will go to Chilliwack or the nearest access point and buy it there, possibly starting an “under the counter”, “black market” and frustrating legitimate vendors. Why re-criminalize what has just been de-criminalized
- The whole thing is a slippery slope. We have enough societal problems
- I think the District should ban sales of cannabis until 2019 when hopefully the kinks are

worked out

- It should be band totally in this District
- Yes. How is it going to be monitored or enforced, nothing else is now.
- Fear of it getting into the hands of children/teenagers
- Problems with disclosing that there are plants being grown in the home, when selling or renting. Can be huge problems with mold, etc.
- Leave it to the Province and Federal government. It should not be a municipal concern
- The District should take a step back and not over think the issue; cannabis is legal; attempting to restrict the sale and consumption will not stop its usage. Do not impede. Lt the stores open, if the demand exists they succeed. If not they go out of business – capitalism
- Profits from commercial cannabis sales could be used to address town issues, such as pot holes and faded crosswalk lines. Let's fill those pot holes with pot dollars
- If we do not have stores selling non-medical cannabis it will still be sold illegally and those who choose to purchase legally will just go to another town to purchase it. At least in Hope it can be monitored
- By legalizing it, you can control it better. Allowing shops to sell is the safest option. You cannot ban weed but you can at least help supply safer outlets
- I feel that non-medical cannabis will open doors to very many unhealthy issues to us all
- I already have two houses on my street growing and selling drugs. The traffic is horrendous. Crime will increase. Young children will be exposed. Stop it now
- We have a severe problem with public intoxication in town, so permitting open consumption of cannabis will actually make the problem worse. Given that bylaw is unwilling to police public drunkenness, we do not feel they will do anything about public cannabis abuse
- Don't need it. Should not be allowed x2
- This whole idea is ridiculous
- At present you can obtain ounces for \$100.00 or less, I myself pay up to \$100/oz. Quarter pounds sell for under \$350.00 for 4oz
- Don't make people shop elsewhere
- Should follow the same laws as alcohol sales & consumption
- Don't fights the issue
- The smoking of cannabis should be kept contained and should be properly vented and filtered before passing into public air space. Not everyone appreciates the smell of the drug, whether it is grown or smoked
- Should only be sold at government store, the same as liquor
- Business tax dollars. If no retail outlets here, people will go to towns that do
- If Hope should turn down having dispensaries in the area they are not dealing with the fact that weed is being grown and sold behind closed doors, everyday – and it will continue to be grown vs sold illegally if the price in the storefronts charge more per ounce then it can be bought on the street
- There should be no additional rules or regulations than is already in place. It's a retail business, plain & simple. Business Licenses should be no different, taxes no different. Cannibus will not be consumed at the place of business, so no additional bylaws should be adopted. Whatever rules and regulations that the liquor store has, or has not, should be the same for cannabis sales
- Hope has enough issues with people on drugs and people high and living on the street and sleeping in parks. The problem will get much worse if we allow THC consumption and retail sales

- It is bought, sold, used and grown already. People need a safe place to buy safe product
- We use it to assist with chronic pain
- Get on board with what people obviously want; majority rules
- Cannabis should be locked where children cannot get into it. Would prefer children not smell it also
- Treat it like alcohol; let people who want to buy it and are legally of age, buy and consume it on their private property
- Growing in a Trailer Park or Apartment/Condo would be a problem with odour
- Cannabis should not be legalized at all
- There should be limited plants (1-3)
- Be smart, not paranoid; use existing laws to curtail poor behaviour (ie: public nuisance, etc) instead of making unnecessary new laws
- Cannabis should be governed similar to alcohol; it is here and its legal and can be good for Hope's economy
- Safety is priority #1
- Let them have it. Make sure young people are well educated and can make a responsible decision
- As long-time residents of Hope, we do not want cannabis or any other drugs in our town, in any shape or form
- I do not want to smell it. I want stricter driver testing for cannabis impairment
- Question on retail close to schools etc. is ambiguous
- This is an opportunity for current businesses to expand and to attract new businesses, including cultivation
- If you grown your own should be made to attend class and learn how to grow safely
- I think careful consideration needs to be given to the majority of people – it is obvious some people want more than the medical benefit and enjoy the effect of THC. I understand there are pills available/soon to be available that would provide relief without the danger of THC
- You are not regulating the growth when it is not legal. What are you planning when it is legal? So many people are growing now and you are not doing anything about it. Your bylaws are laughable
- Even now, I have neighbours who smoke pot; just the smell gives me a terrible headache, not to mention the continuous skunk smell
- Medical and non-medical cannabis has a foul skunk odour, this odour chokes us with no respiratory problems. Consider elderly with respiratory problems cannot breathe that stench so why allow residents to grow their own. If the law allows the grower to continue they must have the ventilation filters in place to reduce the odour
- Disappointed that Council is so slow to prepare for this. Other towns are ready on October 17th. What happened here?
- CBD Oil should be available to seniors for pain. It is in a droplet bottle and does not give anyone a high, however it really helps pain and sleep
- People are going to grow it and smoke it, so you might as well get on the band wagon and sell it. Taxes can go to subsidize our medical plan. Personally, I have no intention of growing it or smoking it, but lots of others will
- I wish to see no growing of non-medical cannabis or consumption of sme anywhere except private residences
- Fields not completed are to be considered abstained, not negative
- We agree that it should be legal but never sold near schools or any place children are.

Policing costs should come from taxation of the cannabis

- Don't screw it up
- District could be liable for health concerns; smoking of cannabis should not be allowed in any public place. Second hand smoke is dangerous. No use at festivals and public gatherings and any place, parks or people gatherings
- People will use it whether we like it or not. It will come to our community and we will get no benefit from the sale. People are using it now, but when it comes legal enforce the rules
- Non-medical should be bought at a government store like liquor, not grown in house. If its for medical use I would say you can grow only what you need at home in backyard
- Smell. Kids having access
- Yes I like to see that when they smoke it to be inside their house, right now our neighbour smokes in their patio, next to ours and it is impossible for us to sit on our patio or even to have any windows open for fresh air because of the odour
- Hope that you do not become too restrictive so that we eliminate the criminal growers, that way we have a better chance of having fentanyl free cannabis and save the lives of our young people
- If allowed there will be businesses in neighbourhoods growing large crops for others for money and saying they are friends. If smoking is allowed in public places the heavy odour will ruin our parks and restaurants and our town
- Legal selling may help control the crime surrounding cannabis. One outlet should be enough for Hope's size. Enforcement needs to be a priority. As it is people "boot leg" alcohol right outside the building in Hope liquor store for teens so.....
- Educating themselves and respect the honest people who use cannabis to treat a multitude of ailments
- The odour is a concern
- Thank you for finally asking the public. I would rather be on the side of many more jobs in town. If you don't have a job here you can't get one. This is a big opportunity for Hope; the valley starts here
- First answer is NO! Not in favour of retail sales of cannabis. All other info no required
- Should be restricted to absolute minimum
- Take into consideration for all the people that don't smoke
- I do not have to be subjected to the odour of this when I go out to public places. Regular cigarettes are not permitted in public places as so should cannabis not be permitted either
- Strongly oppose to legalization of non-medical cannabis. I do not think it is fair or right for the children of our community, growing up in Hope, thinking it is normal to smoke marijuana while walking down the sidewalk. This is where we are heading
- People will always fight for what they can't have. Allow it, regulate it, let people have choices
- I support the District of Hope in their endeavour to create and enforce bylaws in relation to the sale and consumption of cannabis within the town of Hope
- I believe it has a medicinal purpose and my concern is everyone will grow more than the maximum and this draws all the concerns listed above into it, without monitoring from the District by bylaw the community could be terribly impacted in a negative way, as common sense or curiosity barely exist now a days
- What will be different for medical needs. Growing amount and retail costs won't work for people with medical needs on a fixed income
- Should be treated similar to tobacco use/sales. Number of sales outlets should be self-

regulated, as any other business

- Consideration of costs involved in making and enforcing bylaws/regulations
- Concerns: increase in home/business taxes to cover regulations, enforcement and cost of policing and cost of added town hall staffing OR no outlets and let trafficking continue as is
- Start slow, baby steps until we know what the growing pains will be
- Hope has a large elderly population, some of those are veterans who suffer from PTSD, as a retired police officer with PTSD I can assure you that medicinal marijuana is essential. I would rather support a local entrepreneur (dispensary) than the govt getting more of my dame money
- What is the use in a “lawless” society
- The medicine/food was criminally/racist removed from human consumption. I use marijuana oils to keep my epilepsy controlled instead of pharmaceuticals that was destroying my living, pain killer, mood adjustment, food. It is a plant it is not capable of committing a crime.
- People who have jobs/careers and are drug tested cannot be exposed to cannabis smoke. I do not agree with outdoor, every day public consumption around schools, children, daycares, recreation centres, parks where children have designated play areas or places of worship which are listed in the District of Hope as such. No alcohol in public should represent the same as no recreational use o cannabis
- This should be regulated the same as liquor sales/use
- A doobie a day keeps the doctor away
- The smell of use/growing should not be allowed on any public space
- The Federal govt has determined that there is little to no risk with using pot, do you think you are a better judge of this? Keep your opinions and stigma out of it, no one cares what you think personally
- I am a senior and it is time for Hope to get into the 21st century and stop being so restrictive. I do not smoke cigarettes or pot, but I wouldn't stop someone else from doing something that is legal. Be a little more open and grow up
- Monitoring complaints about home growing could become a costly burden as complaints roll in. I do not want my taxes to increase to pay for policing at any level
- We are not in agreement of selling non-medical cannabis. Having a medical cannabis dispensary in Hope is important our hope is that the dispensaries will sell oil etc.
- Hope should follow provincial regulations
- Its' sale and use has been widespread for many years, although underground. Efforts of crime control have proven to be completely ineffective. I debated years ago that governments were missing out on huge tax potential, like tobacco and alcohol. Go with the flow. Also, take away from crime organizations
- Liquor is worse than pot for bad behaviour. There is a lot of liquor stores in town. I would say that I prefer pot stores over liquor stores. I do not drink or smoke pot
- Regulation is irrelevant without enforcement. It's been illegal for years, but enforcement has not been any priority
- As a tax payer in Hope, people will drive to Chilliwack to purchase pot so Hope has to legalize it or lose out on the tax revenue. The Hope Council should choose wisely. This will be a major factor in the next election
- This town has a big enough problem with what is already legal, adding drugs will not help what so ever
- Smoking pot should be done responsibly by the user. Just knowing where and when would be a good time to light up, as long as kids are not nearby

- If the Province and municipalities will have the ability to strengthen legislation in home cultivation, my opinion is home growing should not be allowed. There is not enough manpower to oversee and regulate every resident in every city to make sure residents are adhering to the 4 plant limit. This is going to open up a pandoras box of problems and the onus is going to end up on the backs of home owners, landlords and tax payers
- The District is wasting money even considering a deviation from the Federal and Provincial guidelines. What part of legal don't you get
- Keep your stigma and opinions out of your decision
- Thanks for doing this
- This is Federal change that needs to be embraced in Hope. So long as there are liquor stores there should be cannabis stores. Beer & wine stores until 11pm = cannabis stores until 11pm
- This is not a dry town when it comes to alcohol, the singling out of marijuana is ridiculous and very outdated. This isn't reefer madness, grow up
- Finally a natural way to relax after a hard day at work and I won't feel like I'm a criminal. Finally. The wrong being made right
- I think this survey should have numbered, I could have copied form hundreds of times and submitted in favour or against depending on my wants
- I support the case for allowing retail sales of cannabis in Hope simply for ease of access for people needing it for medical purposes
- Cannabis second hand smoke is not harmless. Those with allergies, sensitivities, respiratory conditions need to be protected, along with children and youth
- This would be a great opportunity for Hope to increase resources – lots of places for a farm to have a business
- I think the public of Hope should educate themselves on cannabis; cannabis has a vast amount of beneficial properties. We don't limit booze consumption for people, so why should cannabis be treated any different
- We need stores in town so we can buy it here. I take 3 drops of Hemp CBD oil once a day which deadens any arthritis pain and I haven't taken a pain pill for 3 years. Thus making me able to walk again and regain my mobility. Also renewed a peaceful sleep pattern. We need more public information supplied to the community for people to be more knowledgeable about hemp and marijuana
- I see no reason why there should be any regulations regarding marijuana use that are any more restrictive than laws related to the sale and consumption of alcohol. I do not consume either
- Small family size store supplying pipes, containers, misc for cannabis. Sales regulated by RCMP
- I believe that dispensaries should be given the same rules as liquor stores, bars (clubs) etc. I believe that research into options should happen ASAP – once results from survey are delivered to Council or perhaps beforehand. Time is of the essence if the District I s to capitalize on this.
- For the most part the same rules should apply as alcohol. Don't be high and drive, don't be high in public
- Cannabis will be in the community regardless if Hope has dispensaries or not. It would benefit the community to stimulate the local economy and create local jobs
- No public consumption. Let's be real..... this is going to be a shit show just like 4:20. Our kids are all going to be smoking it and our incidence of marijuana induced schizophrenia will rise. Cyclical vomiting (it's a horrible thing) will rise and children's IQ will drop. It must be tightly controlled even more so than alcohol or at least the same

- Our town has already gone to pot
- Local businesses should be offered licences before out of town businesses. To not allow the retail sales of non-medical cannabis in the District of Hope would only serve to delay the inevitable and be counterproductive to businesses in the community
- The age limit for sales should be 19+, just like beer and wine
- My concern for public consumption is the odour. If vaporizers are used, I would not be oppose to it
- Cannabis consumption should be legal and allowed in the District of Hope. Bylaws need to be changed
- Should not be allowed anywhere that regular cigarette smoking isn't
- District should defer to Provincial regulations. Keep it simple, allow lots of stores. Restrict smoking to municipal smoking bylaws, should not be much extra work to enforce. I am in favour of restrictive smoking bylaws, tobacco is worse with odour and butt litter; don't want either blowing in my face when enjoying nature/food.
- Adopt Provincial/Federal rules. No further local bylaw or regulation needed. Allow all competitive private business and monitor them or follow Provincial guidelines for violations
- It will be a legal substance and should be treated the same as alcohol
- Should be a controlled substance like alcohol. It stinks and I don't want to inhale the second-hand smoke. Beer/Beverage Garden – Cannabis Garden
- Cannabis stinks. Shouldn't have outings wrecked because of a minority. Beer Garden-Pat Garden. Second-hand smoke could potentially impair non-smoker or cause someone to fail a drug test for work etc. Treat it as alcohol is treated, no public (non-regulated) consumption. Grandma doesn't want a second-hand high
- To be more progressive, refer madness is over? Try to capitalize on the legalization of NMC
- Should follow same rules of alcohol consumption because alcohol and marijuana impair you. Should follow same rules of cigarettes in public because public affected by smoke
- Regulations should be similar to that of tobacco. Not to be sold to minors
- Retail locations to be located in commercial retail zoning, not near residential
- Whatever bylaws that are in place must be enforced always
- Yes, this Council will do what it wants even if a majority of public is in favour
- I don't care if people smoke/grow cannabis as long as it's in a closed area that doesn't affect the quality of life of neighbours due to the strong odour that even a small amount of marijuana can contain. Although the tenancy board is not municipal, will landlords be able to review and make changes to current rental agreements/leases due to the potential impact this might have on landlords? Tenants growing and smoking marijuana causing higher utility bills, mold, higher repair costs if illegal modifications are made to the house etc.
- Thanks for involving us
- Cannabis users are generally well behaved and should not cause a problem in our community. There should not be a need for extra policing or bylaw enforcement as they will not be a nuisance to others
- I am not a cannabis smoker, I feel following Provincial guidance is as much as we can do since we don't even have a local police force and the RCMP doesn't enforce municipal laws
- Please follow Provincial guidelines as opposed to trying to create a kingdom with special rules & guidelines. We are a small town and don't have the resources to change the world. I look forward to having regulations and taxes to help instead of pretending no on

here does that sort of thing

- Prohibition doesn't work
- Hope isn't a prosperous community. Cost of cannabis in a retail environment will likely be out of reach for most users. This will result in continued and increased home growing and private sales. Considering that bylaws only acts on complaint basis, they may be busy initially, until everyone chills out, either through direct smoke or second hand smoke
- People who are desperate to buy cannabis can go to Chilliwack. Keep our town clean of riff raff
- Impaired driving should be enough reason to not legalize. Please restrict in all cases and all ways to the full extend of the law. Legalizing non-medical cannabis is foolish and a danger to the health, safety and well-being of society (shockingly stupid)
- Keep it in your own house. Don't influence my lifestyle with that crap
- Unfortunately, the horse has already left the barn on this but the concern for me is what will be the long-term cost of this on our society. The potential long-term cost on health is going to be staggering. Are the tax revenues going to be enough to offset the costs, let alone provide something for improving our communities many needs as they already are
- If it is to be legalized then the individual municipalities should be able to tax beyond the max
- Too late now this should have come out 2 years ago. More of our tax dollars will be required to control this. Ya more taxes
- There is not enough police to handle all the current drug problems in Hope and new drug addicts moved and moving in. How is Hope going to handle legalization
- Keep Hope smelling nice
- You need to imagine the District of Hope and Hope itself let up with lights and all it's natural beauty. Hope should be a place that is SAFE and actually free of drugs. Drugs ARE NOT SAFE. There should be NO drugs of any kind. No one should have to smell or be near marijuana, especially our children. Tourists should be able to come and go enjoying whatever nice things and beauty we can offer. This town should be free of homelessness, crime, suicide and the smell of drugs. We should be able to walk on the streets day and night without the fear of getting killed like the poor tourist in Boston Bar. If all the towns an cities and all of beautiful BC would work together and fight to eradicate drugs we would have the best province in the world. We can do it if we work together for the better
- I am in favour of cannabis for medical reasons. The reason I am opposed to the legalization of non-medical cannabis is once the parents have access to it, the children will find a way to experiment and they will. These children are our adults of tomorrow. Hope is a lovely little town and as we all know it's growing by leaps and bounds and we need to keep our parks and public areas as clean and free of the odour of cannabis.
- Please, please, please, keep it out of public places - anywhere outside. Even in backyards. The wind blows and we have to go inside and close our windows
- Should not be allowed
- Getting plant residue, cuttings etc rid off waste. Property values dropping because of grow ops in the neighbourhood

Other Information

Do you support or oppose the legalization of cannabis for non-medical use in Canada?

- Strongly support **256**
 - Is this a petition or a survey
 - Age limit should be 21
 - It has already been used for years and does not cause harm to the body. Eliminate the crime element
 - To decrease crime
- Somewhat support **144**
 - Hope it will reduce the criminal element
 - With hesitation and under close regulations
- Somewhat oppose **51**
 - I support decriminalization but not legalization
 - Generates tax dollars
- Strongly oppose **138**
 - Agree with medical marijuana
 - It helps a lot of people
 - Due to insufficient planning for legalization and timeline being too fast for implementation

Do you have children under the age of 18 living in your household?

- Yes **76**
- No **514**
 - I would not encourage this around children

Do you currently own or rent your home?

- Rent **61**
- Own **525**
- Other **7**
 - Landlord
 - What difference does it make
 - Also own rental properties
 - Mobile Home Park
 - Rent property, own house

What type of home do you live in?

- Detached home/Duplex **468**
- Condominium/Apartment building **39**
- Multi-family dwelling **40**
- Other **37**
 - Mobile Home x10
 - Rancher
 - Suite
 - Freehold Strata
 - Ground level Strata
 - Manufactured Home x2
 - Townhouse x4
 - Store
 - Trailer x2
 - Modular x2
 - RV x2
 - Fifth Wheel
 - Rancher
 - What difference does it make
 - One of those 4 houses attached
 - Strata
 - Cottage

Which of the following age groups do you fall into?

- Under 18 **0**
- 18 – 24 **5**
- 25 – 34 **16**
- 35 – 44 **58**
- 45 – 54 **76**
- 55 – 64 **148**
- 65 – 74 **186**
- 75+ **96**

Are you completing this survey on behalf of:

- Yourself **82**
 - We only received one survey and my spouse has differing views
- Your family **318**
- A Community Association **2**
- A business **5**
- Other: **4**
 - Everyone x2
 - Family & business
 - The drug problems have gotten worse in Hope in the last 4 years, cannabis legalization will not help it

Do you support additional costs for Bylaw Enforcement related to the sale and regulation of non-medical cannabis?

- Yes **282**
 - By sales outlets
 - Not taxes
 - No increase or new taxes
 - A necessity
 - More info needed with costs
 - Of existing bylaws in Good Neighbour Bylaw #1240 are also enforced and public consumption of alcohol and illicit drugs also enforced by RCMP
 - Allow a percentage of cannabis sales to cover this cost of Bylaw Enforcement. Do not take out of the municipality's operating budget
 - None of this would be needed if non-medical cannabis is being introduced. It is very wrong; asking for trouble, you are
 - Federal taxes should offset this
 - Only if the officer actually does something
 - Feels that the smoking laws do not get enforced
 - Present bylaws are not enforced, why would cannabis bylaws alone be enforced. Enforce or not all bylaw equally
 - If paid for by taxation of sales from it
 - Taken from profits of sale of cannabis
 - Depends on how much
 - Should be money from Federal government; they brought this mess in just for votes
 - Within reason x2
 - It should be less than the cost of police chasing the criminal element
 - Provided those additional costs come from & don't exceed the taxes collected on the sales of the cannabis
 - As deemed necessary
 - It will probably be less than current enforcement costs
 - The taxes that you will get will more than offset Bylaw Enforcement
 - But not at cost of tax payer, this is a federal law should be paid for by federal

government as they will be collecting taxes on product

- To a point x2
- Within reason x2
- If paid for through high taxes on cannabis
- Our current taxes should already have enough in place for alcohol enforcement, plus cannabis will be taxed so that should not be a problem
- But we should be taxing its sale
- Only if it is enforced, no warnings – fine
- If funded by the sales of cannabis

○ No **220**

- If liquor stores do not require additional Bylaw Enforcement then the same should apply here, absolutely DO NOT
- The province should be paying for any costs
- Should come from taxes on cannabis sales
- Why should be pay
- Should be paid for with business license fees
- You are making a mountain out of a mole hill
- Check with communities in Oregon and Washington who have been doing this for a few years, they can give you real guidance
- I foresee some issues with our local group of addicts who hang around the park, maybe harming themselves further with marijuana misuse
- Not any different than liquor
- We pay enough
- Just because we have more bylaws that does not mean that we should pay extra
- Need better time management
- Absolutely not x2
- Same enforcement for both liquor and cannabis
- But there should be any, if done properly
- I am that you wasted all the paper
- Do you charge other stores, if so, then yes
- Additional costs for enforcement would be unnecessary if we follow existing provincial regulations regarding smoking and vaping
- Bylaws need to be changed before this question is actually relevant
- Unnecessary
- Taxes on pot should pay
- RCMP job and bylaw job that they are already paid for
- If it is legalized why waste govt money on bylaws unless product is being sold to minors
- Use Provincial rules and RCMP to enforce
- Should be a Federal cost if any
- We are already taxed enough in Hope
- But if it is legalized, we probably have to incur additional costs to the tax payer

○ Don't know **84**

- Not in favour employing another Bylaw Officer; unnecessary tax payer expense. Current Bylaw Officer can manage this, as well as signage
- In what regard?
- Thank you for giving us the opportunity to have a voice
- Would need data
- Need more information
- Use dollars to monitor homeless hassling tourists instead
- The Bylaw Officer is already overpaid for what he does
- I am puzzled as to why this is a question for the District as the RCMP will enforce, right?
- It should be along with all other substances regulated already
- Taxation to cover costs of enforcement
- If this is taken out of sales cannabis then yes, but not tax everyday
- Bylaw regulations?
- Don't see any reason to cost more. Not a problem in any case. License fees are supposed to pay bylaw costs.
- Only if it is the same as what liquor store gets. People should not be harassed for cannabis if they are not harassed for alcohol use or tobacco use
- I support taxation from vendors for Bylaw Enforcement
- Depending on amount. X2 Can it be an open ended expense
- If there are more bylaws to enforce it seems obvious there would be a cost to do so. Aren't the municipalities already going to share in the tax revenue? That should cover any additional costs. If not, then it should be part of the tax or licence to retailers
- I don't think that funding should be for non-medical cannabis but I do believe in additional funding for Bylaw Enforcement to help with the continuing/ongoing issues in town
- Do we pay for Bylaw Enforcement with the sale and regulations for tobacco and alcohol, if we do pay for this then the answer is yes but only if we are
- To be paid by the taxation and extra income generated through sales
- Will they be offset by taxes from the sales of cannabis
- Why would you need this, it is legal
- How much
- Fines for infractions should cover all bylaw enforcement costs. It is part of the job
- Could be less
- Page 2 suggests it's a Federal/Provincial responsibility. However, I feel that's a waste of police time/costs. District will require a new or extra bylaw officer willing to take action and like a police officer, develop a tough skin, as they will not be liked
- It depends on what the outcome of this survey is

OTHER COMMENTS RECEIVED.

- This survey is totally useless and costly. There are no possible answer to the questions until the new legislation has been in effect for a period of time. Also, the questions were vague/stupid, with no purpose. For example, there will be many bylaws that will have to correspond with cigarette smoking laws. The entire process regarding the new legislation will be long and arduous. A so-called survey such as this is completely redundant, with no value whatsoever. We suspect that most of the surveys will be ignored, unread, unheeded, not registered and discarded. The good ones will be appreciated for sure – we mean the right ones. I hope these survey responses are read and considered, although we have many doubts. Lots of luck
- You are asking for big trouble, they will go for cannabis to other drugs
- Where it is sold makes no difference, people will get it if they want it – as they always have. Why live in a bubble? Let capitalism dictate the best place for stores, not morales
- If you don't people will go down the Valley for it and do most of their other shopping at the same time
- It shouldn't be much different than for alcohol. As for people smoking pot, they drive a lot slower than someone drinking
- We do not need this stuff in Hope; its only a money thing
- Thanks for asking our opinion
- A society that accepts anything, loses everything, lets be careful. Thank you, for at least, approaching the topic with residents
- If you cannot drop these off in the mail most won't be returned. How valid will the survey be?
- The use of cannabis will be legal in Canada in mid-October 2018. However, as a community we can choose how cannabis can be used. Many studies have been done on showing the positive uses of cannabis oils and edibles. Studies have also been done on the smoking of cannabis and, like tobacco, the results are not positive, plus this method leaves others to suffer from second hand smoke. Like many residents of Hope we garden for our health and well-being. Our enjoyment of being outside will be damaged if the smell of second hand cannabis smoke permeates our neighbours. No one should be confined inside their home due to a neighbour smoking cannabis outside. Hope has in place strict anti-burning bylaws to protect our air quality and we should extend these restrictions to prevent an even worse nuisance than the smell of wood smoke or burning leaves. As a community that works hard to keep its citizens healthy and our environment clean lets be a leader in continuing to make our community the best it can be; a place where young and old want to live. Let's be a step ahead and make our community non-cannabis smoking in ALL outdoor spaces.
- If you are on the ball it should make enough to fill the pot holes and fix infrastructure. How much did this survey cost tax payers
- Public should be informed of recommendations and bylaw decisions before decisions are put in to effect
- Taxes received from pot will far exceed costs of enforcement for without enforcement bylaws are just paper
- Cannabis was used 31 years ago at Vancouver Cancer Center – ½ hour before treatment and 6 hours after chemo
- I think Hope should be concentrating less on selling their real estate and more on protecting their community of people that are not into drugs & illegal activities. I hope this survey is not another waste of tax payer's money, that Hope, BC will do all they can to clean up the town of crime and drugs