



RFP #PW2021-05

MODULAR OPERATIONS BUILDING

Addendum #1

The following questions and answers are provided to proponents:

1. Who is responsible for inter-module service connections?

A: The proponent (vendor) is responsible for inter-module connections.

2. Tape and fill drywall isn't a modular friendly application, will the district accept prefinished vinyl wrapped Gyproc?

A: The District will accept prefinished vinyl wrapped Gyproc. Proponents are encouraged to meet the minimum specifications whenever possible and if not, propose alternatives, including rationale along with all associated costing.

3. Is PST to be included in our pricing?

A: All applicable taxes are to be itemized and included in the cost breakdown.

4. This building size and classification meets requirements for Part 9 BCBC2018, technically only Structural sign-off with schedules is required. Please confirm if the district wants Part 9, structural sign-off with schedules, full consultant stamped drawings (Architect, Mech, Elec, Plumb) done with Part 9, or is Part 3 with stamped drawings & schedules required?

A: The District requests that proponents provide proposals for a Part 3 building per the RFP **AND** as an option a Part 9 building. This will give the District flexibility to choose either option should budget be a constraint.

5. Our main concern is in regards to code compliance, in the minimum specifications doc. it asks for BCBC Code 2012 Part 3, are you requiring full architectural involvement? Meaning an architect will be engaged for the full drawing package. what we typically do for a project such as this, we do in house drawings stamped for structural, electrical and mechanical and then if required, have an architect sign off. If an architect is required for the entire project, this will take time and add to the overall cost.

Please let me know if an architectural sign off is needed and or, will suffice.

A: Architectural sign off will suffice. The BC Building Code in the specifications should reference the 2018 BC Building Code and not 2012.

6. The floor specifications ask for asphalt under coating, is this something that a painted pressure treated plywood could take the place of?

A: Painted pressure treated plywood is acceptable so long as the product maintains the appropriate code compliance.

7. For mechanical the specifications ask for (2) BARD units, would down draft electric furnaces with in floor ducting be acceptable (this is much more efficient in moving air).

A: Down draft electrical furnaces with in-floor ducting is acceptable so long as this application does not complicate the future separation and movement of the modules.

8. Also, can I assume central air conditioning will be required?

A: Yes, central air conditioning is required.

9. Would the District of Hope be open to a bid extension? Given the time needed these days for contractor pricing, we would be looking at an additional 2 weeks or so.

A: The District of Hope will extend the proposal deadline 2 weeks and the following dates will now apply:

Section 9 Clarification of this Request for Proposal

The deadline for the receipt of questions related to this RFP is now December 10, 2021.

Section 11e Closing Date for Proposals

The closing date and time for Proposals is now 1:00pm December 16, 2021.

As a result of the change to the closing date, it is expected that the timeline Schedule A, Section 3 of the RFP in reference to *Contractor Selection and Award* and *Signed Contract* will now be extended by two weeks each.